



Minutes

TRANSPORT, ENVIRONMENT AND COMMUNITIES SELECT COMMITTEE

Minutes from the meeting held on Tuesday 31 October 2017, in Mezzanine Room 1, County Hall, Aylesbury, commencing at 10.00 am and concluding at 11.56 am.

This meeting was webcast. To review the detailed discussions that took place, please see the webcast which can be found at <http://www.buckscc.public-i.tv/>
The webcasts are retained on this website for 6 months. Recordings of any previous meetings beyond this can be requested (contact: democracy@buckscc.gov.uk)

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr S Bowles (Vice-Chairman), Mr D Carroll (Chairman), Mr S Lambert, Ms A Macpherson and Mr P Martin

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Mr I Barham, Mr W Chapple OBE, Mr N Gibson, Mr M Tugwell and Mr K Wright

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from Mr D Dhillon, Mrs L Clarke OBE and Mr R Reed.

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Mr S Bowles declared that he was the Vice-Chairman of Buckinghamshire Thames Valley Local Enterprise Partnership.

3 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 12th September were agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

4 PUBLIC QUESTIONS



The Chairman advised that a question had been received from a Member of the public but was received too late to include in the agenda for the meeting.

However, the Chairman confirmed that the issue had been passed onto the Cabinet Member to action and would be brought to the Committee meeting in December.

5 CHAIRMAN'S REPORT

The Chairman informed the Committee that the Growth Inquiry Report had been presented and discussed at Cabinet where all of the recommendations had been accepted.

The Chairman confirmed that the Committee's next inquiry would be on Modern Slavery and that the Chairman for the inquiry would be Mr S Lambert.

6 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INQUIRY: 12 MONTH RECOMMENDATION REVIEW

This item was deferred to the next meeting on 12th December 2017.

7 NATIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION REPORT: WHAT DOES IT MEAN FOR BUCKS?

The Chairman welcomed the following contributors to the meeting to present the report:

- Mr W Chapple OBE, Cabinet Member for Planning and Environment.
- Mr I Barham, Buckinghamshire Thames Valley Local Enterprise Partnership.
- Mr M Tugwell, Programme Director, Growth and Strategy (England's Economic Heartland).
- Mr N Gibson, Executive Director Transport, Economy and Environment Business Unit.

The contributors presented an overview of the National Infrastructure Commission's interim report to the Committee from the perspective of each of their respective organisations.

During the presentation, Members of the Committee asked questions and sought assurance about the following:

- The definition of the corridor in terms of geography, particularly in relation to the North / South perspective.
- Ensuring the County Council engaged with all decision makers in the project to make sure communities within the corridor benefited from the development of infrastructure and housing.
- Governance arrangements given that there were around 35 different bodies involved.
- The benefits and risks to the Council of being the Accountable Body for the England's Economic Heartland Strategic Alliance (EEHSA) and management of these.
- The role and membership of the EEHSA Core Programme Team.

- Plans for improving connectivity North to South through the County.
- What the many strategic plans for growth and development across the County would mean for local residents in the short to medium term.
- Communication to the public on the impacts of the infrastructure developments.

The following points were made in response to the Committee's questioning:

- In terms of potential and opportunity for economic growth, the geographical area was not fixed and it was the Local Enterprise Partnership's (LEP's) role to ensure all of Buckinghamshire benefitted.
- The Council would work with all necessary partners and neighbouring authorities to realise the infrastructure needed.
- The EEHSA were used to working with a wide range of neighbouring authorities and sub regional bodies to make sure a strategic view was taken and promoted to central government in relation to key infrastructure development needs.
- In developing new sustainable communities, the Council had a responsibility to ensure that development benefitted existing communities too.
- It was important that communities were involved in decisions about infrastructure and development.
- There needed to be collaboration between central government and local councils on where development and growth would happen but the process and timescales for this still needed to be agreed.
- Partners were already working well together as part of the EEHSA and it would be important for all partners to present a single vision and policy framework to central government and funding agencies, for example through a joint position statement.
- North South connectivity across the County would be paramount as growth, particularly in north of county, took place and there were plans to scope some technical work to look at the issue.
- There were only minor financial risks to being the accountable body for the EEHSA which were covered as contingencies in the budget.
- There would be increased funding from central government for local authorities to invest in the major road network from 2020/21.
- Residents would see incremental changes around infrastructure as strategic plans progressed; these would include short and medium term changes in anticipation of longer term plans.
- There was a need to make sure the county retained its skills base and could offer attractive employment opportunities for those leaving university so that skilled people did not move out of the area.
- It was vital for all partners to work together on a strategic approach to long term development in order to be able to secure funding. Funding decisions for 5 or 10 years ahead were being made now.
- There was a need for good communication with communities about intended development. This would focus more on automated and self-serve digital

communications in the future complimented by local politicians adding context as needed.

8 COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME AND INQUIRY SCOPE

a) MODERN SLAVERY INQUIRY SCOPE

The Chairman asked Mr S Lambert to present the item.

Mr Lambert introduced the draft scoping document for the Committee's proposed in depth inquiry into the issue of Modern Slavery.

Mr Lambert explained that there would be a number of key lines of inquiry and evidence would be gathered from a number of Council services as well as from a wide range of external partners and organisations.

Mr Lambert stressed that the inquiry could take a number of months to complete but hoped it would be completed by April 2018, although interim findings could potentially be produced earlier.

The Committee were keen to publicise the inquiry as widely as possible including at each Local Area Forum and the Health and Wellbeing Board. They were also keen to involve the Council's other Select Committees as appropriate.

The inquiry members were confirmed as Mr S Lambert, Inquiry Chairman, Mr D Carroll, Mrs A Macpherson, Mr P Martin, Mrs L Clarke OBE and Mr S Bowles.

b) COMMITTEE WORK PROGRAMME

The Committee noted the work programme.

9 DATE OF THE NEXT MEETING

The next meeting was confirmed as taking place at 10:00am on the 12th December 2017 in Mezzanine Room 1, County Hall.

CHAIRMAN